



**Long Creek Watershed Management District Governing Board**

Agenda – December 9, 2014 – 9:00 a.m. meeting

Location: Scarborough Town Office, Council Chambers B

- 1. Call to order**
- 2. Roll call**
- 3. Minutes 10-22-14 (*Attachment A*)**
- 4. Treasurer's Report (*Attachment B*)**
  - a. Balance Sheet; Profit & Loss; A/R Aging Summary; A/P Summary; Budget vs. Actual
  - b. FY 14 budget review and project carry forwards (*Attachment C*)
- 5. Projected construction projects 2015-2020 (based on input from the Expert Review Panel)**
- 6. Discussion of board member representation**
- 7. Executive director's report:**
  - a. 100 Foden Road, stream restoration
  - b. Maine Mall
  - c. Maintenance RFQ/RFP
  - d. Coal tar sealants
  - e. Website
  - f. Permit renewal – timeline, plan update
- 8. Public comments**
- 9. Next meeting**
- 10. Adjourn**



**Long Creek Watershed Management District Governing Board**

Minutes – October 22, 2014 – 9:00 a.m. meeting

Location: MTA Administration Building

1) **Call to order: 9:00 am**

2) **Roll call:** Dan Bacon, Gerard Jalbert, Curtis Bohlen (late), John Branscom, Brian Goldberg (late), Fred Dillon, Craig Gorris (absent), Peter Newkirk (absent), Ed Palmer (late), Adam Pitcher (absent), Tom Raymond, Doug Roncarati, Michael Vail

3) **Minutes:**

a) Mr. Vail made a motion to approve the August minutes as presented. Mr. Raymond seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

4) **Treasurer’s Report:**

- a) Audit Report: Mr. Bohlen was not involved with the day to day audit process. The auditors worked directly with Chris Brewer. The auditors really appreciated how easy it was to work with Staff. Curtis pointed out that Chris and Tamara should be commended for the bookkeeping.
- b) The board would like Chris Brewer to contact the landowners with the outstanding fees & interest to see why they haven't paid their bills to date.

5) **Maine Mall Feasibility Study – Conceptual Design Presentation by Rich Claytor of Horsley Witten**

a) **Table 1.** Sites were ranked into Tiers with 1 yielding the highest rate of treatment based on the investment

Site	Rank/Score	IA Treated (acres)	Constr. Cost	Comment
8. Sears-Loading	44.5	0.8	\$103,000	Scores low on total pollutant avoided due to small area treated, but is the 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest construction cost (4 <sup>th</sup> lowest \$/IA); the only site that meets 100% of the water quality volume target; and has the highest potential tree benefit. Drains to South Branch.
6. David’s Bridal	41.1	1.7	\$116,000	Small area draining to the Hannaford basin, but has the best \$/IA value of all sites; located at GGP’s busiest entrance, so high visibility; offers bioswale alternative and could be a good paired-monitoring station with similar lot opposite entrance.
1. Macy’s/ Books-a-Million	40.9	2.4	\$369,000	Highest \$/IA; drains to Hannaford basin; high visibility; significant opportunity to enhance failed landscaping and to alleviate undesirable surface drainage patterns/surface deterioration; significant conversion of impervious cover to pervious; identified as priority area by Macy’s on-site representatives.
<b>Tier 1 Total</b>		<b>4.8</b>	<b>\$588,000</b>	

7. Sports Authority	67.4	4.5	\$459,000	Highest ranking site; largest area managed and highest load avoided; largest amount of pavement reduction; offers significant opportunity for improving existing landscaping; high visibility near food court; High cost puts it in Tier II, but possibly to construction with GGP repaving in 2016. Drains to South Branch.
3. Sears/ On the Border	40.2	2.5	\$347,000	Second highest load reduction potential, but 3 <sup>rd</sup> highest \$/IA value due to extensive restriping and more complex design of BMPs needed to reach WQv target; opportunity to greatly enhance landscaping features. Drains to South Branch.
4. Sears/ Jared	37.7	0.8	\$72,000	The lowest construction cost retrofit and second most cost effective \$/IA. Drains to South Branch, but lowest pollutant removal score due to the small area treated. Limited area requiring restriping; location at entrance for high visibility; offers opportunity to expand vegetation along fitness trail.
2. Macy's/ LongHorn	37.2	3.1	\$430,000	In Hannaford drainage basin, large site, offers good opportunity to showcase improved pedestrian management; most cost-effective if timed with repair of deteriorating pavement; maintaining parking count requires small amount of sharing with GGP
5. Sears-Service	22.7	1.0	\$148,000	Second highest \$/IA; offers an opportunity to "organize" parking layout.
<b>Tier 2Total</b>		<b>11.9</b>	<b>\$1,456,000</b>	

- b) The entire mall parcel was reviewed and only the areas that are feasible to install enhancements were addressed (some systems are too old or need major retrofits). This accounts for approximately 60% of the mall property. Resulting in a 26% reduced volume (18 acres).
- c) BMPS are sized to address Chapter 500 when feasible, however the design benchmark was targeted at treating about 0.6 inches of stormwater (the point of the greatest return on investment).
- d) Total budget 1.2 Million, \$500,000 for pond retrofits and \$700,000 for the mall retrofits.
- e) Site 6, stormwater will be directed into the new tree islands.
- f) Site 7 should be a Tier 1 site, because it has the greatest retrofit benefits. However because it is expensive and has the potential to be addressed at a later date in partnership with GGP it was grouped in Tier 2. GGP will potentially repave the site in 2016. By partnering with GGP it is possible the stormwater BMPs could be installed at a lower rate because the restriping and sealcoating costs would be removed from our budget. There seems to be some concern that we need to address stream backflow at this site. Mr. Claytor is aware that one of the pipes seems to be submerged and we may need to look at cleaning out the pipe.
- g) Tier 1 only addresses 8% of annual runoff and will cost \$588,000. If we can address all sites this will result in a 26% reduction.
- h) Costs estimates based on unit costs for implementation in New England, and they are conservative.
- i) Staff needs to create an estimate for the maintenances costs for these retrofits (an estimated annual cost of 3% to 5% of the total capital costs).
- j) Tree islands were estimated for a 20 year growth cycle, and the estimated volume is included in the runoff reduction calculations.

- k) Site 1 ranked highest because the retrofits will yield the greatest pollutant load reduction. GGP is working on a peer-to-peer basis to bring Sears and Macy's into the process. They are still slow to respond but they understand the need to invest in the property to address the stormwater issues.
- l) These estimates are based on 30% design so the numbers could change as the design process continues. This is also true for the pond retrofit design, however the design process is underway, it seems like it would make sense to have this design final before we decide how to proceed with the rest of the design work.
- m) There may be an option to ask the city of South Portland for a waiver on the green space requirement for the plan changes.
- n) There is a cost effectiveness factor to ensure that we have as much ready to go as possible, by adding the additional sites as bid alternates. Construction costs can see reductions when the company can stay in one location as long as possible. The design package could focus on the pond retrofit and then add the additional sites as bid alternates, using one or two contractors.
- o) It would seem that we would get the best effectiveness by addressing the top three sites, we can use these locations as Demonstration Sites, allowing us to work with each landowner. This should increase buy-in.
- p) Sears and Macy's have very rigid design standards, by addressing sites with them perhaps we can show them that these designs can provide access and safety while achieving everyone's goals.
- q) Estimated timeline would be to have the construction bid process in January/February.
- r) Mr. Bacon made a motion to work with Horsley Witten to design process the top six sites from both Tier 1 and Tier 2. Mr. Raymond seconded the motion. It was asked what would be the estimated Change Order to design all 8 sites. Mr. Claytor estimated that it could be around \$20,000, we also need to consider that the construction administration budget could change too. Based on discussion it was determined that we should focus on the following Tier 2 sites (4, 5, and 7). Mr. Bacon amended his motion to state that that we proceed with designing all sites excluding Sites 2 and 3. Mr. Raymond seconded the amendment of the motion. The amended motion now states **that the Board authorizes the staff to work with Horsley Witten to proceed with the design of 6 sites identified in this report excluding sites 2 and 3.** GGP does have a budget for enhancing landscaping around the mall, we are not sure how this budget is scheduled to be implemented. We will need to outreach to GGP regarding planting trees in an urban setting. Chris Baldwin will discuss the potential change order issue with Rich to determine the estimated budget we have available under the contract, we will allow design of all 8 if possible. Motion carried.

6) **100 Foden Road In-Stream Site**

- a) This project will address a site that is identified in the management plan. At this site the stream banks are experiencing severe erosion and it is recommended that we address this site at an earlier date than the plan specified.
  - b) Fairpoint, an abutting landowner, has been asked if they would be willing to help out with this retrofit. Chris Baldwin is waiting for a response. The erosion issue is having the greatest impact on their parcel (they are not a Participating Landowner). The hope is that they cover the planting to stabilize the slope in the spring.
  - c) Per the existing LCWMD Procurement Policy, the board must approve any waivers of the requirement for the competitive bidding/proposal for all purchases of goods and services in excess of \$10,000. It is the recommendation of the Executive Director that the Board waive the competitive bid requirements
-

for the in-stream work at 100 Foden Road and authorize the Executive Director to execute documents necessary thereto. Mr. Bohlen made a motion to waive the competitive bid process, the motion was seconded by Mr. Vail and carried. Mr. Goldberg made a motion to approve the expenditure and authorize the Executive Director to execute documents necessary thereto, with the caveat that Mr. Baldwin continue to seek additional funding from Fairpoint to cover the cost of stabilizing the slope. The motion was seconded by Mr. Vail and carried.

7) **Executive Directors Report:**

- a) Gorham Road and Maine Mall road construction is substantially complete.
- b) Website contract has been initiated with Clear Path Innovations. The new site should be up and running by the end of December.
- c) **Permit renewal timeline:**
  - i) Public notice should have been initiated around October 1, it seems that this will now happen after elections in November.
  - ii) We should have the draft permit around November 1.
  - iii) The draft changes will reference some of the plan changes that have been identified through the Expert Review Panel process. For example the chop and drop sites on the Main Stem above the turnpike are no longer necessary. We need to concentrate more on review of chloride application data to determine what the toxicity issues are that are affecting aquatic habitat.
    - (1) Based on previous feedback from surveys, the contractors are not willing to share what they are applying in the winter, because their application rates for various parcels are proprietary. Our contracted employee that is reviewing data, Damon Yakovleff, would like to speak with Board members' contractors to determine what they are using. In order to respect the wishes of contractors, the information will not be tied to their sites. Rather, it will be utilized to create estimate application rates for different types of sites. We need to determine a baseline on the salt/chloride applications. To obtain this data Board Members will be hearing from staff via email to set up a time to collect the data over the phone.
    - (2) Kate McDonald is reviewing the data that we have accumulated through the monitoring program. She will be working with Curtis to review the data and determine if we are gathering the proper data to answer the questions that we need to pursue based on the plan and the expert review process. We are still looking at the hydrologic data as well to determine if the stream changes are meeting our goals as well.
- d) **In-situ monitoring highlights:** our consultants created chambers that hold the monitoring equipment, and habitat for the bugs. These chambers are designed to allow the flow of the stream to pass through. Based on the small sample size we didn't see that there wasn't a considerable difference between the reference stream and Long Creek aquatic organism survival. This shows that we need to build homes for aquatic organisms, through stream channel changes (adding wood, etc).
- e) **Monitoring contract:** Our monitoring contractor's primary staff has just taken a job at DEP. The contractor will not replace the position but they are promising that they can meet the remaining contract tasks with their current staff. This current contract expires in December 2015.
- f) **County Road water main break:** The City of Westbrook fined the Portland Water District \$500. These funds were paid to Long Creek to provide training for emergency response.

- g) **Mall Plaza sink hole:** We ended up paying one third of the costs because Ellen contacted her own contractor and the additional cost for not calling Risbara originally was equal to what she would have had to pay.
  - h) **Coal Tar sealants:** CCSWCD been in contact with a legislator that is interested in crafting a bill to ban Coal Tar Sealants on a state wide basis. The Board may want to consider testifying if this bill gets submitted.
  - i) Staff is working on a list of projects that have been completed and the prioritization of future projects that can be shared at the next Board Meeting.
- 8) **Public Comments:** None
- 9) **Next Meeting:** December 9, 2014 from 9:00 to 10:30
- 10) **Adjourn:** Mr. Bacon adjourned the meeting at 11:24 a.m.

**Long Creek Watershed Management District**  
**Balance Sheet**  
 As of November 30, 2014

	Nov 30, 14
<b>ASSETS</b>	
<b>Current Assets</b>	
<b>Checking/Savings</b>	
1110 · Biddeford Savings - Checking	2,106.84
1112 · Biddeford Savings - M Market	1,213,796.20
<b>Total Checking/Savings</b>	1,215,903.04
<b>Accounts Receivable</b>	
1200 · ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE	110,027.88
<b>Total Accounts Receivable</b>	110,027.88
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	1,325,930.92
<b>Fixed Assets</b>	
1700 · FIXED ASSETS	
1730 · Monitoring Equipment	25,425.00
1740 · Infrastructure	3,583,801.64
1780 · Construction in Process	35,119.93
1790 · Accumulated Depreciation	-245,014.64
<b>Total 1700 · FIXED ASSETS</b>	3,399,331.93
<b>Total Fixed Assets</b>	3,399,331.93
<b>Other Assets</b>	
1850 · Deferred Charges	14,953.34
<b>Total Other Assets</b>	14,953.34
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>4,740,216.19</b>
<b>LIABILITIES &amp; EQUITY</b>	
<b>Liabilities</b>	
<b>Current Liabilities</b>	
<b>Accounts Payable</b>	
2000 · ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	122,219.77
<b>Total Accounts Payable</b>	122,219.77
<b>Other Current Liabilities</b>	
2200 · Deferred Revenue	30,535.85
2320 · Performance Bonds	96,273.25
<b>Total Other Current Liabilities</b>	126,809.10
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	249,028.87
<b>Long Term Liabilities</b>	
2700 · MM BOND BANK	1,250,011.95
<b>Total Long Term Liabilities</b>	1,250,011.95
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	1,499,040.82
<b>Equity</b>	
3000 · NET ASSETS	3,677,426.69
Net Income	-436,251.32
<b>Total Equity</b>	3,241,175.37
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES &amp; EQUITY</b>	<b>4,740,216.19</b>

**Long Creek Watershed Management District**  
**Profit & Loss**  
 July through November 2014

	Jul - Nov 14
<b>Ordinary Income/Expense</b>	
<b>Income</b>	
4100 · ADMINISTRATION	59,050.17
4200 · CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE	458,516.04
4500 · GOOD HOUSEKEEPING	170,735.56
4600 · MONITORING	77,431.75
4700 · STREAM RESTORATION	3,020.23
4800 · MISC INCOME	500.00
4810 · FINANCE CHARGES	2,624.56
4820 · INTEREST	2,615.43
<b>Total Income</b>	774,493.74
<b>Gross Profit</b>	774,493.74
<b>Expense</b>	
<b>5040 · CONSTRUCTION</b>	
5042 · Engineering	61,510.93
5045 · Streams	31,500.00
5046 · Structural	857,355.24
5047 · Maintenance	21,843.12
<b>Total 5040 · CONSTRUCTION</b>	972,209.29
<b>5060 · MONITORING EXPENSE</b>	27,343.93
6160 · DUES AND SUBSCRIPTIONS	312.92
6180 · INSURANCE	2,326.00
6250 · POSTAGE AND DELIVERY	49.00
<b>6270 · PROFESSIONAL FEES</b>	
6271 · Engineer/Consultant	32,530.43
6272 · Legal Fees	12,747.50
6273 · Accounting	4,975.00
6275 · Program Management	124,757.34
6276 · Sweeping	18,434.90
6278 · Catch Basin Cleaning	9,448.40
6279 · Consultant	1,312.98
<b>Total 6270 · PROFESSIONAL FEES</b>	204,206.55
6300 · REPAIRS	240.00
6340 · TELEPHONE	280.96
<b>6350 · TRAVEL</b>	
6351 · Lodging	500.37
6353 · Meals	96.27
6354 · Travel	1,935.55
6355 · Conferences	495.00
6350 · TRAVEL - Other	10.56
<b>Total 6350 · TRAVEL</b>	3,037.75
6550 · SUPPLIES	738.66
<b>Total Expense</b>	1,210,745.06
<b>Net Ordinary Income</b>	-436,251.32
<b>Net Income</b>	-436,251.32



## Long Creek Watershed Management District A/R Aging Summary

As of November 30, 2014

	Current	1 - 30	31 - 60	61 - 90	> 90	TOTAL
Total 465 Main Street LLC	0.00	0.00	30.52	7.43	849.22	887.17
Total Anthem Health Plans	0.00	0.00	55.08	27.00	0.00	82.08
Total Brawn-Acker, LLC.	0.00	0.00	5.41	0.00	0.00	5.41
Total Bugaboo Creek Holdings, Inc	0.00	0.00	34.72	8.72	2,991.14	3,034.58
Total Cabot House of Portland Real Estate Trust	0.00	0.00	25.00	6.14	0.40	31.54
Total Cornerbrook, LLC	0.00	25.00	47.12	65.80	6,810.10	6,948.02
Total CPSP, LLC	0.00	75.00	203.15	401.82	39,451.58	40,131.55
Total ecomaine	0.00	0.00	125.00	39.89	0.00	164.89
Total MaineToday Media, Inc.	0.00	0.00	48.60	21.18	7,264.62	7,334.40
Total NEWGEN HOSPITALITY, LLC	0.00	-3,842.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3,842.23
Total R.A. Cummings, Inc.	0.00	0.00	7.86	0.00	0.00	7.86
Total United Rentals	0.00	0.00	33.96	8.04	2,759.70	2,801.70
<b>Total UPS</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1,100.17</b>	<b>82.02</b>	<b>51,258.72</b>	<b>52,440.91</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>-3,742.23</b>	<b>1,716.59</b>	<b>668.04</b>	<b>111,385.48</b>	<b>110,027.88</b>

**Long Creek Watershed Management District**  
**A/P Aging Summary**  
**As of November 30, 2014**

	<u>Current</u>	<u>1 - 30</u>	<u>31 - 60</u>	<u>61 - 90</u>	<u>&gt; 90</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Caribou Springs	0.00	31,500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	31,500.00
Clearpath Innovations, Inc	3,230.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,230.00
CPRC Group	2,260.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,260.89
CUMBERLAND COUNTY SWCD	28,629.80	0.00	19,703.83	0.00	0.00	48,333.63
FB ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATES, LLC	10,094.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10,094.00
Horsley Whitten Group	15,306.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15,306.25
OLD REPUBLIC NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE CO	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,495.00	0.00	1,495.00
SHAW BROTHERS CONSTRUCTION, INC.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10,000.00	10,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b><u>59,520.94</u></b>	<b><u>31,500.00</u></b>	<b><u>19,703.83</u></b>	<b><u>1,495.00</u></b>	<b><u>10,000.00</u></b>	<b><u>122,219.77</u></b>

**Long Creek Watershed Management District**  
**Profit & Loss Budget Performance**  
 July through November 2014

	<b>Jul - Nov 14</b>	<b>Annual Budget</b>
<b>Ordinary Income/Expense</b>		
<b>Total Income</b>	774,493.74	1,509,214.24
<b>Gross Profit</b>	774,493.74	1,509,214.24
<b>Expense</b>		
<b>5040 · CONSTRUCTION</b>		
5042 · Engineering	61,510.93	134,799.64
5044 · Permits	0.00	0.00
5045 · Streams	31,500.00	
5046 · Structural	857,355.24	1,652,000.00
5047 · Maintenance	21,843.12	70,000.00
5040 · CONSTRUCTION - Other	0.00	0.00
<b>Total 5040 · CONSTRUCTION</b>	972,209.29	1,856,799.64
<b>Total 5060 · MONITORING EXPENSE</b>	27,343.93	63,898.00
<b>5080 · MEETINGS</b>	0.00	1,000.00
<b>Total 6000 · PROGRAM EXPENSE</b>	0.00	75,758.30
<b>6160 · DUES AND SUBSCRIPTIONS</b>	312.92	665.04
<b>Total 6180 · INSURANCE</b>	2,326.00	6,000.00
<b>6250 · POSTAGE AND DELIVERY</b>	49.00	
<b>6260 · PRINTING AND REPRODUCTION</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>6270 · PROFESSIONAL FEES</b>		
6271 · Engineer/Consultant	32,530.43	25,000.00
6272 · Legal Fees	12,747.50	32,000.00
6273 · Accounting	4,975.00	5,000.00
6274 · Administration	0.00	0.00
6275 · Program Management	124,757.34	402,956.00
6276 · Sweeping	18,434.90	125,000.00
6277 · WQ Monitoring	0.00	0.00
6278 · Catch Basin Cleaning	9,448.40	6,000.00
6279 · Consultant	1,312.98	2,500.00
6281 · Website	0.00	500.00
<b>Total 6270 · PROFESSIONAL FEES</b>	204,206.55	598,956.00
<b>Total 6300 · REPAIRS</b>	240.00	3,000.00
<b>6340 · TELEPHONE</b>	280.96	721.92
<b>6350 · TRAVEL</b>		
6351 · Lodging	500.37	
6353 · Meals	96.27	
6354 · Travel	1,935.55	9,600.00
6355 · Conferences	495.00	4,400.00
6350 · TRAVEL - Other	10.56	
<b>Total 6350 · TRAVEL</b>	3,037.75	14,000.00
<b>6550 · SUPPLIES</b>	738.66	4,000.00
<b>Total Expense</b>	1,210,745.06	2,624,798.90
<b>Net Ordinary Income</b>	-436,251.32	-1,115,584.66
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>-436,251.32</b>	<b>-1,115,584.66</b>

**Long Creek Watershed Management District**  
**Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actual**  
FY 14 - Budget Review and Project Carry Forwards

		TOTAL			
		Jul '13 - Jun 14	Budget	\$ Over Budget	Explanation
					Budget Carry Forward 2015
<b>Ordinary Income/Expense</b>					
Total Income		1,595,561	1,497,633	97,928	
Gross Profit		1,595,561	1,497,633	97,928	
<b>Expense</b>					
5010 · ADS		0	0	0	
5020 · AWARDS		44	0	44	
5030 · BAD DEBT		0	0	0	
5040 · CONSTRUCTION					
5042 · Engineering		109,915	169,944	-60,029	Maine Mall Engineering 60,029
5044 · Permits		370	0	370	
5046 · Structural		110,021	522,451	-412,430	Maine Mall/Gorham Roads remaining budget \$181,342. \$231,087 transferred to Infrastructure 181,342
5047 · Maintenance		34,016	73,396	-39,381	
5040 · CONSTRUCTION - Other		0	0	0	
Total 5040 · CONSTRUCTION		254,322	765,791	-511,469	
5050 · GOOD HOUSEKEEPING EXPENSE					
5052 · Education		555	0	555	
Total 5050 · GOOD HOUSEKEEPING EXPENSE		555	0	555	
5060 · MONITORING EXPENSE					
5061 · Watershed Monitoring		129,234	123,935	5,300	In-Situ Monitoring per ERP
5063 · Data Analysis		9,187	91,130	-81,943	ERP Budget Expensed under 5080, 6271, 6279 Total 37,631. There is an estimated need in 2015 some included in 6275 for in house work and \$20,000 for contractors 20,000
5065 · Monitoring Equipment		0	0	0	
5060 · MONITORING EXPENSE - Other		0	0	0	
Total 5060 · MONITORING EXPENSE		138,421	215,065	-76,643	
5070 · DEPRECIATION EXPENSE		74,163	0	74,163	Not budgeted for, these expenses do not effect cash flow
5071 · AMORTIZATION EXPENSE		935	0	935	
5080 · MEETINGS		3,289	0	3,289	
6000 · PROGRAM EXPENSE					
6001 · BMP Maintenance		0	0	0	
6002 · Loan Payment		0	75,758	-75,758	Budgeted, this offsets the liability of the bond, effects balance sheet not expense account*, reflected on statement of cash flow
6000 · PROGRAM EXPENSE - Other		23	0	23	
Total 6000 · PROGRAM EXPENSE		23	75,758	-75,735	
6160 · DUES AND SUBSCRIPTIONS		486	0	486	
6180 · INSURANCE					
6181 · Liability Insurance		4,385	6,000	-1,615	
Total 6180 · INSURANCE		4,385	6,000	-1,615	
6250 · POSTAGE AND DELIVERY		225	0	225	
6270 · PROFESSIONAL FEES					
6271 · Engineer/Consultant		115,757	82,137	33,619	
6272 · Legal Fees		31,436	55,000	-23,564	
6273 · Accounting		4,975	4,975	0	
6274 · Administration		0	0	0	
6275 · Program Management		357,977	422,721	-64,744	ERP - 5 Year Plan update moved to 2015, other ERP tasks contracted, website staff time to contractor \$5,600 59,144
6276 · Sweeping		101,679	125,000	-23,321	
6277 · WQ Monitoring		0	0	0	
6278 · Catch Basin Cleaning		4,130	10,000	-5,870	
6279 · Consultant		4,792	2,500	2,292	
6281 · Website		0	4,000	-4,000	Moved to 2015 and contract of \$9,600, total need \$9,100 9,100
Total 6270 · PROFESSIONAL FEES		620,745	758,846	-138,101	
6300 · REPAIRS					
6330 · Equipment Repairs		3,907	0	3,907	
Total 6300 · REPAIRS		3,907	0	3,907	
6340 · TELEPHONE		853	0	853	
Total 6350 · TRAVEL		6,117	14,286	-8,169	
6550 · SUPPLIES		3,946	3,100	846	
Total Expense		1,112,417	1,838,846	-726,429	329,615
Net Ordinary Income		483,144	-341,213	824,357	
		<b>483,144</b>	<b>-341,213</b>	<b>824,357</b>	

<b>Long Creek Watershed Management District</b>							10:33 AM
<b>Profit &amp; Loss Budget Overview</b>							04/16/2014
<b>FY 15 - Budget Revised with FY 14 Project Carry Forwards</b>							Accrual Basis
				<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>	
				<b>Jul '14 - Jun 15</b>	<b>2014 Carry Forward</b>	<b>Revised</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<b>Ordinary Income/Expense</b>							
			<b>Total Income</b>	1,509,214.24		1,509,214.24	
			<b>Expense</b>				
			<b>5040 · CONSTRUCTION</b>				
			5042 · Engineering	134,799.64	60,029.00	194,828.64	Construction projects only
			5046 · Structural	1,617,000.00	181,342.00	1,798,342.00	All Maine Mall retrofits budgetd for at this time, estimated completed projects at the end of fy 15 is the gravel wetland.
			5047 · Maintenance	70,000.00		70,000.00	
			<b>Total 5040 · CONSTRUCTION</b>	1,821,799.64		2,063,170.64	
			<b>5060 · MONITORING EXPENSE</b>				
			5061 · Watershed Monitoring	56,898.00		56,898.00	Monitoring contract with FB Environmental
			5063 · Data Analysis	0.00	20,000.00	20,000.00	
			5065 · Monitoring Equipment	7,000.00		7,000.00	
			<b>Total 5060 · MONITORING EXPENSE</b>	63,898.00		83,898.00	
			<b>5080 · MEETINGS</b>	1,000.00		1,000.00	
			<b>6000 · PROGRAM EXPENSE</b>				
			6002 · Loan Payment	75,758.30		75,758.30	
			<b>Total 6000 · PROGRAM EXPENSE</b>	75,758.30		75,758.30	
			<b>6160 · DUES AND SUBSCRIPTIONS</b>	665.04			
			<b>6180 · INSURANCE</b>				
			6181 · Liability Insurance	6,000.00		6,000.00	
			<b>Total 6180 · INSURANCE</b>	6,000.00		6,000.00	
			<b>6270 · PROFESSIONAL FEES</b>				
			6271 · Engineer	25,000.00		25,000.00	H&H Contract with Kleinschmidt
			6272 · Legal Fees	30,000.00		30,000.00	
			6273 · Accounting	5,000.00		5,000.00	
			6275 · Program Management	402,956.00	59,144.00	462,100.00	
			6276 · Sweeping	125,000.00		125,000.00	
			6278 · Catch Basin Cleaning	6,000.00		6,000.00	
			6279 · Database Management	2,500.00		2,500.00	
			6281 · Website	500.00	9,100.00	9,600.00	
			<b>Total 6270 · PROFESSIONAL FEES</b>	596,956.00		665,200.00	
			<b>Total 6300 · REPAIRS</b>	3,000.00		3,000.00	
			<b>6340 · TELEPHONE</b>	721.92		721.92	
			<b>6350 · TRAVEL</b>				
			6354 · Travel	9,600.00		9,600.00	
			6355 · Conferences	4,400.00		4,400.00	
			<b>Total 6350 · TRAVEL</b>	14,000.00		14,000.00	
			<b>6550 · SUPPLIES</b>	4,000.00		4,000.00	
			<b>Total Expense</b>	2,587,798.90	329,615.00	2,916,748.86	
			<b>Net Ordinary Income</b>	-1,078,584.66		-1,407,534.62	

## Long Creek Watershed Management District Statement of Cash Flows for FY 14 with FY 15 Projection

	Jul '13 - Jun 14	Notes
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net Income	483,144.41	
Adjustments to reconcile Net Income to net cash provided by operations:		
1200 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE	-117,143.68	
2000 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	160,908.97	
2200 - Deferred Revenue	34,777.88	Port Resources
2320 - Performance Bonds	11,002.15	Construction Hold Back
<b>Net cash provided by Operating Activities</b>	<b>572,689.73</b>	
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
1700 - FIXED ASSETS:1740 - Infrastructure	-871,370.93	
1700 - FIXED ASSETS:1780 - Construction in Process	611,612.80	Partially constructed assets
1700 - FIXED ASSETS:1790 - Accumulated Depreciation	74,163.43	
1850 - Deferred Charges	934.53	Deferred Bond Interest
<b>Net cash provided by Investing Activities</b>	<b>-184,660.17</b>	
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
2700 - MM BOND BANK	-75,758.30	
<b>Net cash provided by Financing Activities</b>	<b>-75,758.30</b>	
 <b>Net cash increase for period</b>	 <b>312,271.26</b>	
 <b>Cash at beginning of period</b>	 <b>1,422,499.31</b>	
<b>Cash at end of period</b>	<b>1,734,770.57</b>	
 <b>Projected Cash Income 2015</b>	 <b>1,509,214.24</b>	Income is based receiving all landowner assessments
<b>Projected Cash Expenses 2015</b>	<b>2,916,748.86</b>	
<b>Projected Net Cash</b>	<b>327,235.95</b>	